

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,405	09/24/2003	Susan I. Shelso	29985/02-029	7930	
4743	7590 03/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	LL, GERSTEIN & BO	PRONE, CHR	PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606	3738			
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/29/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/670,405	SHELSO, SUSAN I		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher D. Prone	3738		

Advisory Action	10/6/0,405	SHELSO, SUSAN I					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher D. Prone	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	70SS				
• •		•					
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of finally rej						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar to. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	·					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	,	•	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
COR SUPERVIS	RINE MCDERMOTT SORY PATENT EXAMINER	Christian					

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to better define the connection and location of the tubes which changes the scope in a manner that will require further search and consideration.